

Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition submission deadline stated in the NOFO.

The CoC Priority Listing includes:

- Reallocation forms – must be completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new projects or if a project applicant will transition from an existing component to an eligible new component.
- Project Listings:
 - New;
 - Renewal;
 - UFA Costs;
 - CoC Planning;
 - YHPD Renewal; and
 - YHDP Replacement.
 - Attachment Requirement
- HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

Things to Remember:

- New and Renewal Project Listings – all project applications must be reviewed, approved and ranked, or rejected based on the local CoC competition process.
- Project applications on the following Project Listings must be approved, they are not ranked per the FY 2021 CoC Program Competition NOFO:
 - UFA Costs Project Listing;
 - CoC planning Project Listing;
 - YHPD Renewal Project Listing; and
 - YHDP Replacement Project Listing.
- Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
 - For each project application rejected by the CoC the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
 - If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND ranked BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

Additional training resources are available online on HUD's website.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2021 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2021 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Collaborative Applicant Name: Carroll County, Commissioners of

2. Reallocation

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2021 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2021 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

2-1. Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or more eligible renewal grant(s) that will expire in calendar year 2022 into one or more new projects? No

Continuum of Care (CoC) New Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all new project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the New Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Rank	PH/Realoc	PSH/RRH	Expansion
This list contains no items									

Continuum of Care (CoC) Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.

The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing renewal projects.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Rank	PSH/RRH	Comp Type	Consolidation Type	Expansion Type
BHA PSH (S+C) Ca...	2021-10-22 15:31:...	1 Year	Maryland Departm e...	\$133,733	3	PSH	PH		
SSO Coordinat ed I...	2021-10-27 16:56:...	1 Year	Human Services Pr...	\$32,098	4		SSO		
PHPWD I FFY21 Con...	2021-10-27 17:02:...	1 Year	Human Services Pr...	\$167,174	C1	PSH	PH	Survivor	

Applicant: Carroll County CoC

MD-506

Project: MD-506 CoC Registration FY 2021

COC_REG_2021_182127

SH to PH FY 2021	2021-10- 27 17:05:...	1 Year	Human Services Pr...	\$77,308	C2	PSH	PH	Individua 	
---------------------	-----------------------------	--------	----------------------------	----------	----	-----	----	---------------	--

Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload the CoC planning project application submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes while the project is located in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application, you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC planning project application can be submitted and only by the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC which must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile.

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Accepted?
MD 506 CoC Planni...	2021-11-02 08:05:...	1 Year	Board of Carroll ...	\$12,309	Yes

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP renewal and replacement projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.

The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing renewal projects.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted ?	PSH/RRH	Consolidation Type
This list contains no items								

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Replacement Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Replacement Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP replacement project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP replacement projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Replacement Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted?
This list contains no items						

Funding Summary

Instructions

This page provides the total budget summaries for each of the project listings after the you approved, ranked (New and Renewal Project Listings only), or rejected project applications. You must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate. The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount your CoC's Collaborative Applicant will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds.

Title	Total Amount
Renewal Amount	\$410,313
New Amount	\$0
CoC Planning Amount	\$12,309
YHDP Amount	\$0
Rejected Amount	\$0
TOTAL CoC REQUEST	\$422,622

Attachments

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)	Yes	HUD 2991 Certific...	11/04/2021
FY 2021 Rank Tool (optional)	No	FY 2021 Ranking D...	11/09/2021
Other	No		
Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: HUD 2991 Certificate of Consistency

Attachment Details

Document Description: FY 2021 Ranking Document

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

WARNING: The FY2021 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

WARNING: The FY2021 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

Page	Last Updated
Before Starting	No Input Required
1A. Identification	10/18/2021
2. Reallocation	10/18/2021
5A. CoC New Project Listing	No Input Required
5B. CoC Renewal Project Listing	11/09/2021
5D. CoC Planning Project Listing	11/04/2021
5E. YHDP Renewal	No Input Required
5F. YHDP Replace	No Input Required
Funding Summary	No Input Required
Attachments	11/09/2021
Submission Summary	No Input Required

--

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

HUD 2991

Carroll County MD (MD- 506)
2021 CoC Attachment Priority Listing

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: Carroll County Continuum of Care (CoC)

Project Name: Multiple (see attached list)

Location of the Project: Westminster, Carroll County, MD
Scattered Site Apartments

Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying: Continuum of Care Homeless Assistance Competition

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: State of Maryland

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name: Kenneth C. Holt

Title: Secretary, Department of Housing and Community Development

Signature: 

Date: 11/3/21

Maryland Consolidated Plan (2020 – 2024) Goals for Certification

Summary of the objectives and outcomes identified in the Plan Needs Assessment

Overview

In developing its Plan, the State determined it would focus on four main areas:

1. Increasing Affordable Rental Housing (with an emphasis on rental housing for low and extremely low income households, special needs population including persons with physical and mental disabilities as well as those living with HIV/AIDs) – outcomes will be based on the number of units produced as well as leveraging to provide additional housing resources to provide services.
2. Promoting homeownership for first time homebuyers (including families with student debt and veterans) – outcomes will be measured by units as well as new homebuyers in designated Sustainable Communities.
3. Community Revitalization (with an emphasis on small business expansion and lending) – outcomes will include economic impact on neighborhoods assisted, number of new small businesses assisted/created.
4. Reducing homelessness, with a particular emphasis on supportive housing for vulnerable populations, including the chronically homeless, youth, and veterans and those living with HIV/AIDs – outcomes will include reduced homelessness counts and services provided to those living with HIV/AIDs.

Link to State 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan for further information:

<https://dhcd.maryland.gov/Pages/ConsolidatedPlan.aspx>

Carroll County (MD-506) 2021 Continuum of Care Project List

The Carroll County Continuum of Care Lead Agency provided to the Maryland Consolidated Planning process data and local information on funding needs, resource gaps, coordination of policy and strategies, and measuring progress in addressing homelessness and poverty in its jurisdiction.

Project #	Project Name	Project Type	Location	Grant Period	Funding Request	Support Stated Goal State of Maryland Five Year Consolidated Plan 2020 - 2024
1	SSO Coordinated Intake	Supportive Services Only – Coordinated Entry for any homeless person in Carroll County	Westminster MD with Outreach across Carroll County, MD	2/1/22 – 1/31/23	\$32,098	4. Reducing homelessness, with a particular emphasis on supportive housing for vulnerable populations, including the chronically homeless, youth, and veterans and those living with HIV/AIDSs – outcomes will include reduced homelessness counts and services provided to those living with HIV/AIDSs.
2	PSH 1	Permanent Supportive Housing and case management for Chronically Homeless individual or families	Scattered Site Leased Dwellings across Carroll County, MD	7/1/22-6/30/23	\$167,174	4. Reducing homelessness, with a particular emphasis on supportive housing for vulnerable populations, including the chronically homeless, youth, and veterans and those living with HIV/AIDSs – outcomes will include reduced homelessness counts and services provided to those living with HIV/AIDSs.
3	PH (Permanent Housing)	Permanent Supportive Housing and case management for Chronically Homeless individual or families	Scattered Site Leased Dwellings across Carroll County, MD	7/1/22-6/30/23	\$77,308	4. Reducing homelessness, with a particular emphasis on supportive housing for vulnerable populations, including the chronically homeless, youth, and veterans and those living with HIV/AIDSs – outcomes will include reduced homelessness

Carroll County (MD-506) 2021 Continuum of Care Project List

						counts and services provided to those living with HIV/AIDs.
4	BHA PSH (S + C) Carroll County	Permanent Supportive Housing and case management for individuals or families with a severe and persistent mental illness including Chronically Homeless.	Scattered Site Leased Dwellings across Carroll County , MD	7/1/22-6/30/23	\$133,733	4. Reducing homelessness, with a particular emphasis on supportive housing for vulnerable populations, including the chronically homeless, youth, and veterans and those living with HIV/AIDs – outcomes will include reduced homelessness counts and services provided to those living with HIV/AIDs.
Total Funding Request (4 projects)					\$410,313	

Project Review and Selection Process

1. Scoring Tool Used (entire PDF)
2. One Scored Project Application (Page 17)
3. Final Scores All Projects (Page 30)

Navigation Pane Version 5.0

GENERAL	ABOUT THE TOOL	RAW HIC DATA	LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED				
RATING	CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA	RENEW. + EXP. THRESHOLD	RENEW. + EXP. RATING TOOL	NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD	NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL	ALTERNATIVE RATING TOOL	RATING RESULTS
RANKING	FUNDING CEILINGS + PRIORITIES	FUNDING ANALYSIS + RANKING					

ABOUT THE CoC PROGRAM RATING & RANKING TOOL

ABOUT THE TOOL

HUD is providing this Rating and Ranking Tool to help CoCs design and implement a comprehensive annual CoC competition application review process. It has several customization features so you can choose the rating factors that are most relevant to your CoC and the priorities your CoC has adopted to inform system (re)design.

DISCLAIMER: HUD is explicitly stating that use of this tool is optional, is not being promoted over other tools CoCs currently use, and does not guarantee:

- additional points in the Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 Continuum of Care Program (CoC) Competition;
- CoC applications will be consistent with all NOFO requirements; and
- HUD will award CoCs with full points or funding.

The tool provides a strong framework for implementing a data-driven rating process and a ranking process informed by system priorities and capacity analysis (if available) and it satisfies the objective criteria requirement in the FY 2021 CoC Program NOFO. HUD strongly encourages CoCs to read the CoC Program NOFO carefully to determine if there are new opportunities, priorities, or expectations that your CoC might need to assess outside this tool. The Priority Listing is the official project ranking record for the CoC Program NOFO. HUD is not requiring CoCs to use this tool, nor is it preferred over other rating tools or processes, use of the tool does not guarantee additional points on the CoC Program application. HUD has made this tool available to CoCs for use in their year-round NOFO planning process. Feedback on the tool is welcome.

Microsoft Excel 2003 or higher is required when using this tool. When opening the tool workbook, you might need to click **"Enable Content"**, **"Enable Editing"**, and/or **"Enable Macros"** buttons in the yellow bar at the top of your screen. This is necessary for the macros and formulas to run correctly within the spreadsheet. If you get an error message, please check to see if the yellow bar is present and click these buttons before attempting to use the tool further. If you encounter a bug while using the tool, click **"End"** in the error pop-up, then navigate back to this tab and click the **"Turn On Macros"** button in the top right corner to ensure the underlying code is not disrupted before resuming. CoCs can submit technical questions about the Rating and Ranking Tool, including requesting help with bugs in the Tool, through the esnaps competition AAQ desk.

Due to the complexity of the tool, problems might occur when multiple Excel files are open at the same time. To help alleviate this problem, you should close all other Excel files on your computer before running the following parts of the tool:

- Generating list of projects from Raw HIC data
- Populating rating results
- Generating project ranking

[For further guidance, see the Guidance Document on HUD's website.](#)

MIGRATING DATA TO NEWER VERSIONS OF THE TOOL

The version of the CoC Program Rating and Ranking Tool created for the FY21 CoC Program NOFO (Version 5.0 and higher) contains updates to the project that can have their performance rated with the addition of TH+RRH projects and changes to how available funding in the NOFO is entered in the tool on the 'FUNDING CEILINGS + PRIORITIES' tab, CoCs are strongly encouraged to use Version 5.0 for the FY21 CoC NOFO process. The Tool has been updated to reflect the FY21 NOFO to the greatest extent possible. CoCs are responsible for verifying that the rating and ranking process they use is consistent with the NOFO.

If a CoC has started using an earlier version of the Tool, the tool can be "upgraded" using the new Update Tool feature (see PROCESS FOR MIGRATING DATA USING UPDATE TOOL FEATURE). This feature automates and enhances the old data migration process (see ORIGINAL PROCESS FOR MIGRATING DATA (NO LONGER NECESSARY)), pulling CoC's entered data and specified criteria from the old version of the tool into the latest version of the tool. The feature will pull in all the data and saved choices in the tabs before the 'FUNDING ANALYSIS + RANKING' tab. The resulting file will have the same name as the old version of the tool, plus a "_FIXED" suffix at the end.

If a CoC decides to use earlier versions of the tool for the FY18 and FY19 CoC NOFO process, they should review the HUD threshold requirements in the 'RENEW. + EXP. THRESHOLD' and 'NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD' tabs and the NOFO information in the "General Funding Information" and "HUD CoC Program NOFO Opportunities" sections of the 'FUNDING CEILINGS + PRIORITIES' tab carefully to take into account any changes in HUD requirements or NOFO opportunities in the FY21 CoC NOFO.

PROCESS FOR MIGRATING DATA USING UPDATE TOOL FEATURE

1. In the latest tool downloaded from the HUD Exchange page, navigate to the '**ABOUT THE TOOL**' tab.
2. Click the "Update Tool" button in the top-right corner.
3. Follow the prompts to select your out-of-date tool with the data in it.
4. Wait up to 5 minutes for the tool to pull in all entered data and specified criteria. A pop-up will confirm the update is complete.

LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED

Sort projects by:

You can sort the project list below using the drop down selection to the left. Please note that you cannot sort by "Renewal, New, Expansion...." until you have completed the green section of this form

Use your Grant Inventory Worksheet and project spending records to complete these columns

Project ID	Organization Name	Project Name	Project Type	General/DV	McKinney-Vento	McKinney-Vento: YHDP	Grant Number	CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	CoC Funding Requested	Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocate, Ignore
		Permanent Supportive Housing									
966	Human Services Programs of Carroll	Transition	PSH	General	Yes		MD0438T3B061900	\$ 74,314	\$ 74,230	\$ 77,308	Renewal
18	Human Services Programs of Carroll	Permanent Supportive Housing I	PSH	General	Yes		MD0135L3B062013	\$ 161,097	\$ 160,090	\$ 167,174	Renewal
615	MD Department of Health and Men	Shelter Plus Care	PSH	General	Yes		MD0133L3B062013	\$ 129,053	\$ 99,245	\$ 133,733	Renewal
NA	Human Services Programs of Carroll	Coordinated Intake	C/I	General	Yes		MD0139L3B061911	\$ 32,098	\$ 32,098	\$ 32,098	Renewal

LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED



= Auto-populated cell. Cannot be edited



Check once you have confirmed the bed inventory listed for the projects below is consistent with the number of beds listed for each project in your CoC's Grant Inventory Worksheet.

Project ID	Geo Code	All Fam Beds	DV Fam Beds	CH Fam Beds	Vet Fam Beds	Par Youth Beds	Beds HH w/o Children	Beds HH w/ only Children	All Ind Beds	DV Ind Beds	CH Beds HH w/o Children	CH Beds HH w/ only Children	Total CH Ind Beds	Vet Ind Beds	Single Youth Beds	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Fam (Yes/No)	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Ind (Yes/No)	Is 100% DV (Yes/No)
966	249013	7	0	7	0	0	7		7	0	7		7	0	0			No
18	249013	7	0	7	2	0	12		12	0	12		12	2	0			No
615	249013	0	0	0	0	0	11		11	0	11		11	0	0			No
NA	249013		0						0	0			0					

NAVIGATION

- Customize Threshold Requirements
- Filter Rating Factors
- Customize Renewal/Expansion Project Rating Tool
- Customize New Project Rating Tool

CUSTOMIZE NEW AND RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

CoC Threshold Requirements

(Delete the X in the box next to any requirements you do not wish to include.)

- Coordinated Entry Participation
- Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation
- Documented, secured minimum match
- Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally
- Project is financially feasible
- Applicant is active CoC participant
- Application is complete and data are consistent
- Data quality at or above 90%
- Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%
- Acceptable organizational audit/financial review

(The first five requirements are the rating process either as Threshold or Financial Criteria)

FILTER RATING FACTORS

Select project type to edit

Select special population

Select...

Using these drop-down menus, select which rating factors to show and customize

Select...

CUSTOMIZE RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Delete the X in the box besides any rating factor below that you do not wish to include. If desired, adjust the factor/goal and point value for each measure. You can add additional locally-defined criteria below. See the Data Source Chart for information about where to obtain data to use in scoring.

Performance Measures

Length of Stay

	Factor/Goal	Max Point Value
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	15 days	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	30 days	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	15 days	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	15 days	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180 days	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180 days	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - TH Component (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180 days	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - TH Component - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180 days	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	15 days	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	15 days	10 points

Exits to Permanent Housing

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	80 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	90 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	90 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90 %	25 points

Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10 %	15 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20 %	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10 %	15 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20 %	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10 %	15 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20 %	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10 %	15 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20 %	10 points

New or Increased Income and Earned Income

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25 %	2.5 points

Serve High Need Populations *(select from drop-down menu)*

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Project focuses on chronically homeless people		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	95 %	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless		points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	95 %	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless		points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	95 %	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless		points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	95 %	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - XX% of participants are chronically homeless		points

Project Effectiveness

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20 points

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points

Equity Factors

Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes	5	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience	Yes	5	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience	Yes	5	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	Yes	5	points

Program Participant Outcomes

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age	Yes	5	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Yes	5	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age	Yes	5	points

Other and Local Criteria

(select from drop-down menu)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes	10	points
-------------------------------------	----------------------	--	-----	----	--------

Total Maximum Score	RRH-General projects:	175	points
	RRH-DV projects:	140	points
	PSH-General projects:	175	points
	PSH-DV projects:	140	points
	TH-General projects:	175	points
	TH-DV projects:	140	points
	TH+RRH-General projects:	175	points
	TH+RRH-DV projects:	140	points

CUSTOMIZE NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL

Experience

Factor/Goal

Max Point Val

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	General-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.		15	points
-------------------------------------	---	--	----	--------

DV A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

- DV-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application. 15 points
- General-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases. 10 points
- DV-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases. 10 points
- General-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants. 5 points
- DV-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants. 5 points

Design of Housing & Supportive Services

- General-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks. 15 points
- DV-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks. 15 points
- General-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs. 5 points
- DV-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs. 5 points
- General-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently. 5 points
- DV-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently. 5 points
- General-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs. 10 points
- DV-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs. 10 points
- General-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization. 10 points
- DV-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization. 10 points

Timeliness

- General-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award. 10 points
- DV-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award. 10 points

Financial

- General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. 5 points
- DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. 5 points
- B. Organization's most recent audit:
 - General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess 5 points
 - DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess 5 points
 - General-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' 5 points
 - DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' 5 points
 - General-3. Indicates no findings 5 points
 - DV-3. Indicates no findings 5 points
- General-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. 5 points

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DV-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.		<u>5</u>	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	General-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.		<u>20</u>	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DV-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.		<u>20</u>	points

Project Effectiveness

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	General-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals	<u>95</u> %	<u>5</u>	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DV-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals	<u>95</u> %	<u>5</u>	points

Equity Factors

Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	New project has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	<u>Yes</u>	<u>5</u>	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	New project's organizational board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience (per 578.75(g))	<u>Yes</u>	<u>5</u>	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one	<u>Yes</u>	<u>5</u>	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	New project has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes	<u>Yes</u>	<u>5</u>	points

Program Participant Outcomes

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review		<u>5</u>	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	New project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review		<u>5</u>	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	New project describes plan to work with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review		<u>5</u>	points

Other and Local Criteria

Total Maximum Score

General projects: **85** points

RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: √ Permanent Supportive Housing Transition (966)
 Organization Name: Human Services Programs of Carroll County Inc
 Project Type: PSH
 Project Identifier: 966

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.

If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.

Renewal/Expansion Projects
 Threshold Review Complete

100%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
------------------------	--------

For each threshold, select "Yes" if applicant has fulfilled the threshold requirement and is eligible to submit an application.

Yes to all

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborative applicant and project applicants should carefully review the annual NOFA criteria each year.

HUD THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information, and maintains an active SAM registration annually.	Yes
2. Applicant has Valid DUNS/TIN/EIN number in application.	Yes
3. CoC Program Eligibility – Project applicants and potential subrecipients meet the eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in the Act and the Rule and provide evidence of eligibility required in the application (e.g., nonprofit documentation).	Yes
4. Financial and Management Capacity: Project applicants and subrecipients demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds.	Yes
5. Certifications - Project applicants submit the required certifications specified in the NOFO.	Yes
6. Population Served - The population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, the Rule, and the NOFO.	Yes
7. HMIS Participation - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers use a comparable database that captures the required HMIS data in addition to meeting the needs of the local HMIS.	Yes
8. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts – It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds unless. a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD	Yes
9. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions – In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal government.	Yes
10. Pre-selection Review of Performance - If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD reviews information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), and the "Do Not Pay" website. HUD reserves the right to: a) Deny funding, or with a renewal or continuing award, consider suspension or termination of an award immediately for cause; b) Require the removal of any key individual from association with management or implementation of the award; and c) Make provisions or revisions regarding the method of payment or financial reporting requirements	Yes

RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: √ Permanent Supportive Housing Transition (966)
 Organization Name: Human Services Programs of Carroll County Inc
 Project Type: PSH
 Project Identifier: 966

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.

If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.

Renewal/Expansion Projects
 Threshold Review Complete

100%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
------------------------	--------

11. Sufficiency of Financial Management System - HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets Federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302. HUD may arrange for a survey of financial management systems for applicants selected for award who have not previously received Federal financial assistance, where HUD Program officials have reason to question whether a financial management system meets Federal standards, or for applicants considered high risk based on past performance or financial management findings.	Yes
12. False Statements - A false statement in an application is grounds for denial or termination of an award and may result in criminal, civil, and/or administrative sanctions, including fines, penalties, and imprisonment. Recipient or applicant confirms all statements are truthful.	Yes
13. Mandatory Disclosure Requirement - Recipients or applicants disclose in writing to the awarding program office at HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award within ten days after learning of the violation. Recipients that have received a Federal award including the term and condition outlined in Appendix XII to 2 CFR part 200—Award Term and Condition for Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters are required to report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings to SAM. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in § 200.338 Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. (See also 2 CFR part 180, 31 U.S.C. 3321, and S.C. 2313.)	Yes
14. Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities - Applicants are subject to the provisions of Section 319 of Public Law 101-121, 31 U.S.C. 1352, (the Byrd Amendment), and 24 CFR part 87, which prohibit recipients of federal awards from using appropriated funds for lobbying the executive or legislative branches of the Federal government in connection with a Federal award. All applicants submit with their application the signed Certification Regarding Lobbying included in the Application download from Grants.gov. In addition, applicants disclose, using Standard Form LLL (SFLLL), "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," any funds, other than federally appropriated funds, that will be or have been used to influence federal employees, members of Congress, or congressional staff regarding specific awards. Federally-recognized Indian tribes and tribally designated housing entities (TDHEs) established by federally-recognized Indian tribes as a result of the exercise of the tribe's sovereign power are excluded from coverage of the Byrd Amendment, but state-recognized Indian tribes and TDHEs established only under state law shall comply with this requirement. Applicants submit the SFLLL if they have used or intend to use non-federal funds for lobbying activities.	Yes
15. Equal Participation of Faith-Based Organizations in HUD Programs and Activities – Projects ensure that all projects meet the requirements under 24 CFR 5.109. On April 4, 2016, HUD amended 24 CFR 5.109 consistent with E.O. 13559, entitled Fundamental Principles and Policymaking Criteria for Partnerships with Faith-Based and Other Neighborhood Organizations (75 Fed. Reg. 71319 (Nov. 22, 2010)). (See 81 FR 19355). These regulations apply to all HUD programs and activities, including all of HUD's Native American Programs, except as may be otherwise provided in the respective program regulations, or unless inconsistent with the respective program authorizing statute.	Yes
16. Resolution of Civil Rights Matters - Outstanding civil rights matters be resolved before the application submission deadline. Project applicants, who after review are confirmed to have civil rights matters unresolved at the application submission deadline, will be deemed ineligible. Their applications will receive no further review, will not be rated and ranked, and will not receive funding.	Yes

CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

For each requirement, select "Yes" if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, has been given an exception by the CoC or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select "No".

Coordinated Entry Participation	Yes
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Yes
Documented, secured minimum match	Yes
Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally	Yes
Project is financially feasible	Yes
Applicant is active CoC participant	Yes
Application is complete and data are consistent	Yes

RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: √ Permanent Supportive Housing Transition (966)
 Organization Name: Human Services Programs of Carroll County Inc
 Project Type: PSH
 Project Identifier: 966

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.

If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.

Renewal/Expansion Projects
 Threshold Review Complete

100%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
Data quality at or above 90%	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>
Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>
Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: ✓ Permanent Supportive Housing Transition (966)
 Organization Name: Human Services Programs of Carroll County Inc
 Project Type: PSH (General)
 Project Identifier: 966

[Print Blank Template](#)

[Print Report Card](#)

Renewal/Expansion Projects
 Rating Complete

Met all threshold requirements

100%

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
---------------	------------------	-------------	----------------	-----------------

PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Length of Stay				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 15 days after referral to PSH	58 days	0	out of 20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	90% remain in or move to PH	100%	25	out of 25
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	0%	15	out of 15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project stayers	8%+ of participants with new or increased income	0%	0.0	out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	0%	0.0	out of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	15%+ of participants with new or increased income	NA%	2.5	out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ of participants with new or increased income	NA%	2.5	out of 2.5
Performance Measures Subtotal			45	out of 70

SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS

Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 95% of participants are chronically homeless	100%	20.0	out of 20
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			20	out of 20

PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS

Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20	out of 20
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	100%	10	out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	Yes	10	out of 10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			40	out of 40

EQUITY FACTORS

Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	Yes	5	out of 5
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	Yes	5	out of 5
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	Yes	5	out of 5
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	Yes	5	out of 5
Program Participant Outcomes				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by race, ethnicity, etc.	Yes	5	out of 5

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: ✓ Permanent Supportive Housing Transition (966)
 Organization Name: Human Services Programs of Carroll County Inc
 Project Type: PSH (General)
 Project Identifier: 966

[Print Blank Template](#)

[Print Report Card](#)

Renewal/Expansion Projects
 Rating Complete

Met all threshold requirements

100%

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	Yes	5	out of 5
HMIS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	Yes	5	out of 5
Equity Factors Subtotal			35	out of 35
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	Yes	10	out of 10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			10	out of 10
TOTAL SCORE				
			150	out of 175
Weighted Rating Score			86	out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 77,308
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ 77,308
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 74,314
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 74,230
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		100%

NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____ *Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list*

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____ *If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Project Identifier: _____

New Projects
Threshold Review Complete

0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
------------------------	--------

For each threshold, select "Yes" if applicant has fulfilled the threshold requirement and is eligible to submit an application.

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborative

Yes to all

HUD THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information, and maintains an active SAM registration annually.	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Applicant has Valid DUNS/TIN/EIN number in application.	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. CoC Program Eligibility – Project applicants and potential subrecipients meet the eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in the Act and the Rule and provide evidence of eligibility required in the application (e.g., nonprofit documentation).	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Financial and Management Capacity: Project applicants and subrecipients demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds.	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Certifications - Project applicants submit the required certifications specified in the NOFO.	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Population Served - The population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, the Rule, and the NOFO.	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. HMIS Participation - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers use a comparable database that captures the required HMIS data in addition to meeting the needs of the local HMIS.	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts – It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds unless.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD 	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions – In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal government.	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Pre-selection Review of Performance - If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD reviews information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS), and the "Do Not Pay" website. HUD reserves the right to:	<input type="checkbox"/>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Deny funding, or with a renewal or continuing award, consider suspension or termination of an award immediately for cause; b) Require the removal of any key individual from association with management or implementation of the award; and c) Make provisions or revisions regarding the method of payment or financial reporting requirements 	<input type="checkbox"/>

NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: _____
 Project Identifier: _____

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list

If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.

New Projects
Threshold Review Complete

0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

YES/NO

11. Sufficiency of Financial Management System - HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets Federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302. HUD may arrange for a survey of financial management systems for applicants selected for award who have not previously received Federal financial assistance, where HUD Program officials have reason to question whether a financial management system meets Federal standards, or for applicants considered high risk based on past performance or financial management findings.

12. False Statements - A false statement in an application is grounds for denial or termination of an award and may result in criminal, civil, and/or administrative sanctions, including fines, penalties, and imprisonment. Recipient or applicant confirms all statements are truthful.

13. Mandatory Disclosure Requirement - Recipients or applicants disclose in writing to the awarding program office at HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award within ten days after learning of the violation. Recipients that have received a Federal award including the term and condition outlined in Appendix XII to 2 CFR part 200—Award Term and Condition for Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters are required to report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings to SAM. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in § 200.338 Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. (See also 2 CFR part 180, 31 U.S.C. 3321, and S.C. 2313.)

14. Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities - Applicants are subject to the provisions of Section 319 of Public Law 101-121, 31 U.S.C. 1352, (the Byrd Amendment), and 24 CFR part 87, which prohibit recipients of federal awards from using appropriated funds for lobbying the executive or legislative branches of the Federal government in connection with a Federal award. All applicants submit with their application the signed Certification Regarding Lobbying included in the Application download from Grants.gov. In addition, applicants disclose, using Standard Form LLL (SFLLL), "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," any funds, other than federally appropriated funds, that will be or have been used to influence federal employees, members of Congress, or congressional staff regarding specific awards. Federally-recognized Indian tribes and tribally designated housing entities (TDHEs) established by federally-recognized Indian tribes as a result of the exercise of the tribe's sovereign power are excluded from coverage of the Byrd Amendment, but state-recognized Indian tribes and TDHEs established only under state law shall comply with this requirement. Applicants submit the SFLLL if they have used or intend to use non-federal funds for lobbying activities.

15. Equal Participation of Faith-Based Organizations in HUD Programs and Activities – Projects ensure that all projects meet the requirements under 24 CFR 5.109. On April 4, 2016, HUD amended 24 CFR 5.109 consistent with E.O. 13559, entitled Fundamental Principles and Policymaking Criteria for Partnerships with Faith-Based and Other Neighborhood Organizations (75 Fed. Reg. 71319 (Nov. 22, 2010)). (See 81 FR 19355). These regulations apply to all HUD programs and activities, including all of HUD's Native American Programs, except as may be otherwise provided in the respective program regulations, or unless inconsistent with the respective program authorizing statute.

16. Resolution of Civil Rights Matters - Outstanding civil rights matters be resolved before the application submission deadline. Project applicants, who after review are confirmed to have civil rights matters unresolved at the application submission deadline, will be deemed ineligible. Their applications will receive no further review, will not be rated and ranked, and will not receive funding.

CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

For each requirement, select "Yes" if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, has been given an exception by the CoC or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select "No".

Coordinated Entry Participation

Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation

Documented, secured minimum match

Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally

Project is financially feasible

Applicant is active CoC participant

NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: _____
 Project Identifier: _____

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list

If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.

New Projects
Threshold Review Complete

0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
Application is complete and data are consistent	<input type="checkbox"/>
Data quality at or above 90%	<input type="checkbox"/>
Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%	<input type="checkbox"/>
Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	<input type="checkbox"/>

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: _____
 Project Identifier: _____

Print Blank Template

Print Report Card

New Projects
Rating Complete

Met all threshold requirements

0%

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
EXPERIENCE		
Experience Subtotal	0	out of 0
DESIGN OF HOUSING & SUPPORTIVE SERVICES		
Design of Housing & Supportive Services Subtotal	0	out of 0
TIMELINESS		
Timeliness Subtotal	0	out of 0
FINANCIAL		
B. Audit		
Financial Subtotal	0	out of 0
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		
Project Effectiveness Subtotal	0	out of 0
EQUITY FACTORS		
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies		
Recipient has BIPOC individuals in managerial and leadership positions	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 5
Recipient's board of directors includes representation from persons with lived experience	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 5
Recipient has process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 5
Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for updating policies that currently center white dominant culture	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 5
Program Participant Outcomes		
Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 5
Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 5
Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 5
Equity Factors Subtotal	0	out of 35
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA		
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal	0	out of 0
TOTAL SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE	0	out of 35
Weighted Rating Score		
Weighted Rating Score	0	out of 100
PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION		
CoC funding requested	NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab	\$ -
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		<input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/>
Amount of private funding		<input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/>
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ -



Sort projects by:

You can sort the projects using the drop-down menu.

RATING TABLE

Project ID	Grant Number	Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocate
		#N/A

ALTERNATIVE RATING TOOL

*project list below
own selection to the left.*

Project Name	Organization Name
--------------	-------------------



*If you make
sure to sav*

Yes to all threshold requirements

ENTER VALUES FOR ALL PROJECTS

Project Type	General/ DV	McKinney- Vento: YHDP	Met All HUD Threshold Requirements	Met All CoC Threshold Requirements	Weighted Rating Score (out of 100)

*By default,
score value
individually*

*Before any edits, make
a backup before moving on.*

*Adjust the threshold and
the number of projects will pull from any
saved projects*

RATING RESULTS

Sort projects by:

You can sort the project list below using the drop down selection to the left.

Make sure to save any rating you've done before running.

RATING RESULTS

Project ID	Grant Number	Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocate	Project Name	Organization Name	Project Type	General/DV	McKinney					Par Youth Beds	All Ind Beds
							- Vento: YHDP	All Fam Beds	DV Fam Beds	CH Fam Beds	Vet Fam Beds		
NA	MD0139L3B061911	Renewal	Coordinated Intake	Human Services Programs of Car C/I	General	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	MD0135L3B062013	Renewal	Permanent Supportive Housing I	Human Services Programs of Car PSH	General	0	7	0	7	2	0	12	
966	MD0438T3B061900	Renewal	Permanent Supportive Housing Tr	Human Services Programs of Car PSH	General	0	7	0	7	0	0	7	
615	MD0133L3B062013	Renewal	Shelter Plus Care	MD Department of Health and N PSH	General	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	

RATING RESULTS

Sort projec

= Not all requirements met or threshold scoring not started

RATING

Project ID	DV Ind Beds	Total CH Ind Beds	Vet Ind Beds	Single Youth Beds	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Fam (Yes/No)	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Ind (Yes/No)	CoC Funding Requested	Amount of Other Public Funding (Federal, state, county, city)	Amount of private Funding	CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	Met All HUD Threshold Requirements	Met All CoC Threshold Requirements	Weighted Rating Score
NA	0	0	0	0			\$32,098			\$32,098			NOT RATED
18	0	12	2	0			\$167,174			\$160,090		Yes	97
966	0	7	0	0			\$77,308			\$74,230		Yes	86
615	0	11	0	0			\$133,733			\$99,245		Yes	70

GENERAL FUNDING INFORMATION

Annual Renewal Demand (ARD): \$ 410,313.00

CoC Bonus Funding: \$ -

DV Bonus: \$ -

Tier 1 Funding: \$ 410,313.00
ARD – YHDP Renewal

Tier 2 Funding: \$ -
+ DV Bonus

FY2021 HUD CoC PROGRAM NOFO OPPORTUNITIES

Project Types to Consider for

CoC Bonus/Reallocation:

- New PSH for 100% Dedicated PLUS or chronically homeless individuals
- New PSH for 100% Dedicated PLUS or chronically homeless families
- New RRH for Individuals
- New RRH for families

- New TH+RRH for Families
- New TH+RRH for Individuals
- New HMIS
- New SSO coordinated entry

Project Types to Consider for

DV Bonus Funding:

- New RRH for individuals
- New RRH for families
- New TH+RRH for individuals
- New TH+RRH for families
- New SSO coordinated entry

FUNDING CEILINGS AND PRIORITIES BY PROJECT TYPE AND POPULATION

For each project type/population combination, specify the maximum number of beds (renewal and new combined), maximum level of funding (ceiling), and relative priority. If beds or \$ are left blank, then projects within that category will not be capped. If the table below is blank, then projects will be ranked solely based on their rating scores. The ranking list will be generated in the following order:

- 1) HMIS and non-DV bonus-funded SSO-coordinated entry projects will be listed first in Tier 1 because they are required elements of a CoC's system. This does not mean that HUD is encouraging you to rank them first; rather you should set local policies on their relative priority and move them accordingly after the initial ranking is generated.
- 2) CoC Bonus/new DV bonus-funded projects will be ranked just like other projects, and will be highlighted in pink/gray formatting. You should set local policies on their relative priority and move them accordingly after the initial ranking is generated.
- 3) Projects in the high priority categories, listed in order of their rating score, up to the maximum number of beds or funding level specified for each project type/population.
- 4) Projects in the medium priority categories, listed in order of their rating score, up to the maximum number of beds or funding level specified for each project type/population.
- 5) Projects in the low priority categories, listed in order of their rating score, up to the maximum number of beds or funding level specified for each project type/population.
- 6) Projects with unspecified priority, listed in order of their rating score.
- 7) Other SSO grants.

Projects that exceed the beds or \$ targets specified on the chart will be listed in the "Projects Not Selected for Funding" section of the FUNDING ANALYSIS + RANKING tab because they represent inventory above the needs of the system. The CoC NOFO Committee may want to solicit additional projects to fill project type and population targets that are not met for this CoC Program NOFO or subsequent NOFOs.
[Instructions on Completing Funding Ceilings and Priorities](#)

Total \$ Need Specified Below: \$ -

		PSH			RRH			TH			TH+RRH		
		Beds	\$	Priority	Beds	\$	Priority	Beds	\$	Priority	Beds	\$	Priority
All Families	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>												
DV Families	<input type="checkbox"/>												
Chronically Homeless Families	<input type="checkbox"/>												
Veteran Families	<input type="checkbox"/>												
Parenting Youth	<input type="checkbox"/>												
All Individuals	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>												
DV Individuals	<input type="checkbox"/>												
Chronically Homeless Individuals	<input type="checkbox"/>												
Veteran Individuals	<input type="checkbox"/>												
Single Youth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>												

FUNDING ANALYSIS + RANKING

CoC Bonus Funding	\$0	DV Bonus Funding	\$0	Tier 1 (ARD - YHDP)	\$410,313	Tier 2 (CoC Bonus)
Allocated	\$0	Allocated	\$0	Allocated to DV Bonus	\$0	Allocated to DV Bonus
% Allocated	0%	% Allocated	0%	Allocated from Tier 1	\$410,313	Allocated from Tier 2
Remaining	\$0	Remaining	\$0	Remaining*	\$0	Remaining

*If DV Bonus not selected this will be subtracted from Tier 1

FUNDING ANALYSIS TABLE

	PSH		RRH		TH		TH+RRH	
	Allocated	% of Ceiling	Allocated	% of Ceiling	Allocated	% of Ceiling	Allocated	% of Ceiling
All Families	14 Beds \$244,482	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-
DV Families	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-
Chronically Homeless Families	14 Beds \$244,482	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-
Veteran Families	2 Beds \$167,174	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-
Parenting Youth	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-
All Individuals	30 Beds \$378,215	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-
DV Individuals	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-
Chronically Homeless Individuals	30 Beds \$378,215	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-
Veteran Individuals	2 Beds \$167,174	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-
Single Youth	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-	0 Beds \$0	-

Ranking	Priority Level	Weighted Rating Score	Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocate	Grant Number	Project Type	General/DV	Organization Name	Project Name	CoC Funding Requested	CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year
0		NOT RATED	Renewal	MD0139L3B061911	C/I	General	Human Services Progr	Coordinated Intake	\$ 32,098	\$ 32,098
0		97	Renewal	MD0135L3B062013	PSH	General	Human Services Progr	Permanent Supporti	\$ 167,174	\$ 160,090
0		86	Renewal	MD0438T3B061900	PSH	General	Human Services Progr	Permanent Supporti	\$ 77,308	\$ 74,230
0		70	Renewal	MD0133L3B062013	PSH	General	MD Department of He	Shelter Plus Care	\$ 133,733	\$ 99,245
									\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -



\$0	Projects Exceeding ARD + CoC Bonus + DV Bonus
\$0	Amount
\$0	\$0
\$0	

MANUALLY EDIT!

CoC Funding Recommendation (manual entry)	All Fam Beds	DV Fam Beds	CH Fam Beds	Vet Fam Beds	Par Youth Beds	All Ind Beds	DV Ind Beds	Total CH Ind Beds	Vet Ind Beds	Single Youth Beds	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Fam (Yes/No)	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Ind (Yes/No)	Is 100% DV (Yes/No)	Met All HUD Threshold Requirements	Met All CoC Threshold Requirements	Project ID
\$ 32,098	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						NA
\$ 167,174	7	0	7	2	0	12	0	12	2	0			No		Yes	18
\$ 77,308	7	0	7	0	0	7	0	7	0	0			No		Yes	966
\$ 133,733	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	11	0	0			No		Yes	615
\$ -																
\$ -																
\$ -																
\$ -																
\$ -																
\$ -																
\$ -																
\$ -																
\$ -																
\$ -																
\$ -																